EAST CHICAGO WATERWAY MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING
City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building
4444 Railroad Avenue
East Chicago, Indiana
May 20, 2015
Wednesday, 5:00 p.m.

Transcript of the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, on the 20th day of May, 2015, at 5:00 p.m., at the City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana, before Peggy S. LaLonde, Certified Shorthand Report and Notary Public for the County of LaPorte, State of Indiana.

1	APPEARANCES:
2	MR. JOHN FEKETE, President
3	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ, Secretary
4	MR. JOHN BAKOTA, Board Member
5	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ, Member
6	MR. TED ZAGAR, Board Member
7	MR. FERNANDO TREVINO, FMT Consulting
8	MS. ELLEN GREGORY, East Chicago Waterway Board
9	of Directors' Attorney
10	
11	ALSO PRESENT:
12	DANIEL NETHERTON, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
13	DAVID ALONZO, BMO Harris
14	TIM WESCOTT, Tetra Tech
15	JIM ANDERSON, CliftonLarsonAllen
16	
17	
18	* * * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	2

1	MR. FEKETE: I'd like to call to order the	
2	East Chicago Waterway Management District Board of	
3	Directors meeting. Today's date is May 20. The	
4	time is now 4:57. Roll call. John Bakota?	
5	MR. BAKOTA: Here.	
6	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriquez?	
7	MR. HENRY RODRIQUEZ: Here.	
8	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriquez?	
9	MR. PATRICK RODRIQUEZ: Here	
10	MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar?	
11	MR. ZAGAR: Here.	
12	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?	
13	(No Response)	
14	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?	
15	MR. LOPEZ: Here.	
16	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete? Here. We have	
17	a quorum.	
18	Consulting Engineering report,	
19	Mr. Trevino?	
20	MR. TREVINO: Fernando Trevino, FMT	
21	Consulting. I'd like to start off by going over the	
22	contents of your Board packet. You have the	
23	April 15th, 2015, Board minutes in the packet for	
24	approval consideration.	
25	You have a W-9 form that was	
		3

requested by one of the User Fee payors.

You have the financial statement from CliftonLarsonAllen dated April 30th, 2015

You have the claim docket for approval consideration dated May 20, 2015.

You have First Merchant's Bank bank account statement for accounts ending in 608 and 616, and both are for the month of April 2015. And you also have the two User Fee deposits that were made in April. And one of them is the User Fee deposit memo dated April 2nd, 2015 and April 10th, 2015.

You have BMO Harris ECI Facility
Trust report dated May 18th, 2015. You have the BMO
Harris Bank bank account statement for account
ending in 761 for the month of April 2015. And
another BMO Harris account statement for April 2015
for account ending in 534.

You have an e-mail from Attorney Jim Wieser to myself on a form to evaluate insurance options. This form, after we fill it out, will require an officer's signature. So I will be contacting either Mr. Fekete or Mr. Lopez to sign that once it's filled out. It doesn't commit the Board to anything other than to evaluate insurance

options:

You have Northwest Indiana Regional Planning Commission notice regarding public comments in anticipation of Greenways and Blueways for their 2020 plan. And another internet notice dated June 22nd, 2015, from Chi-Cal Rivers Fund regarding other grant opportunities.

You have another e-mail dated May 4th, 2015 from IDEM to Jennifer Miller of the Army Corps of Engineers.

You have Tetra Tech's progress report for the month of April, dated May 6, 2015.

You have a notice regarding our June 15th, 2015, public meeting for Great Lakes Legacy Act Project and that notice is in English and Spanish.

You have an access agreement between Tetra Tech and the USS Refinery to access their property for sampling.

You have the East Chicago Waterway
Management Board meeting Board report from the Army
Corps of Engineers, and they have submitted an
updated report from what is in your Board packet and
I provided a hardcopy of that for you.

And last but not least, you have

Attorney Ellen Gregory's report to the Board with the date of May 19th, 2015.

That concludes the report.

I'd like to go over a couple items. The 2015 User Fee collections are ongoing. They were due April 1st and there are several that we have not received. I'd like, if it's okay with the Board, I'd like to send the Users who have not paid their User fees a letter some time next week with the new due date of July 1st, with the caveat that if we don't receive payment by July 1st, that we would ask the Attorney General to exercise the memorandum of understanding we have with them to pursue non-payor. So that's my plan unless the Board has alternate ideas.

Community engagement activities are ongoing. We held a stakeholders meeting with the adjacent property owners on April 23rd at City Hall. The second stakeholder meeting was presented to IDEM's Care Committee on April 28th. And our third stakeholders meeting, which is planned for the general public, is scheduled for June 25th, at 6:00 p.m., at East Chicago's main library.

I gave a presentation on May 7th to

the City's Water Board for permission to advertise the public meeting in their water bill and they approved sending the advertisement in their water bill.

Dave Ryan of Lakeshore Chamber was invited to the April 23rd stakeholder meeting and after that he thought — he invited us to have a panel discussion during one of their Lakeshore Chamber luncheons. So we are in conversation with Mr. Ryan on what that would look like, and see if that could come to fruition.

Remediation cost share strategy
meeting is scheduled for tomorrow with BP and EPA,
along with Tetra Tech. I've been working with EPA
and our accountant, Tim Anderson, on proposing a
work in kind for fix cost for the Great Lakes Legacy
Act Project.

As I mentioned Tetra Tech's project report is in your packet for additional information, and Mr. Jim Wescott is here today to provide more details on the project update.

I mentioned the Army Corps board report is in your packet. We have been working with the Corps and the State of Indiana to either use our web site or for the Corps to develop their own web

1 site to support their next dredging contract. Corps 2 project's manager, Natalie Mills, was not able to 3 attend tonight's meeting, so Daniel Netherton is here in her place. Also I'll been working with the 4 5 Corps and BP renewing Section 10 permit. The May 13th TSCA permit meeting 6 7 that was scheduled last week has been postponed and 8 working with EPA and IDEM for an alternate date. 9 Attorney Ellen Gregory will give an 10 update on some legal matters. 11 As a summary, some of the regular 12 meetings that I have participated on behalf of the 13 District include the ECI bi-weekly meetings, Great 14 Lakes Legacy Act Project team meetings, the 15 Roxanna/Marsh Area Development project meetings, 16 dredging project meetings, the Corps well point 17 project team meetings, and the Wild Life Management 18 project meetings. That summarizes my report. 19 MR. FEKETE: Any questions for Mr. 20 Trevino? 21 (No Response) 22 MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. 23 Approval of Board meeting minutes for April 15th, 24 2015. 25 MR. BAKOTA: Motion to accept.

1 MR. FEKETE: I have a motion. Do I hear a 2 second? 3 MR. LOPEZ: Second. 4 MR. FEKETE: We have a second I'll 5 entertain a voice vote. All in favor signify by 6 saying aye? 7 (All signify aye.) 8 MR. FEKETE: Any opposition? 9 (No Response) 10 MR. FEKETE: Motion is approved. 11 you very much. The Accounting Consultant report, 12 Mr. Anderson 13 MR. ANDERSON: Tim Anderson with 14 CliftonLarsonAllen. You guys all have a copy of the 15 financial statement through April 30th in your 16 packet. This is a financial statement for the 17 Waterway through April 30th. 18 Page 2 is the first page that's got 19 numbers on it through April 30th. So, four months, 20 January, February, March, April. You've got User 21 fees collected of 309,000. We've got transfer from 22 the Trust, the budget transfer of 268. So our total 23 cash receipts to the Waterway were 579,000 for those four months. Cash disbursements, you can see how 24 25 they break out, all the different things we pay,

engineering, accounting, office help. The Great
Lake Legacy Project is the biggest item. So we have
taken in 579,000 and we have spent 236,000, so our
cash accounts increased 343,000 for those four
months. We have -- we had 2,006,000 at the
beginning of the year and we have 2,350,000 as of
April 30th. We have two checking accounts that are
the Waterway's, the operating account, which is our
budget account. It's got a balance of 711,000 in
it. And our User fee account, that's got 1.6
million in it.

Page 3 just shows those two accounts and the interest that we have earned each month and the cash disbursements that we have made each month in both the accounts.

Page 4 is the page that should interest you guys. The total budget that we had at the beginning of the year was 947,000 and you can see how it's broken out by line item. We have written through April \$236,000 of cash disbursements. The claims docket that you have in front of you today has got 37,000 on it, which leaves an unused appropriation as of, I guess the end of the day today after you cut those checks, 673,000 yet to go. And no line items have got a

1 negative amount or no line item is close to zero. 2 So we are in good shape as far as our budget goes. 3 Any questions on these reports? 4 (No Response) 5 MR. ANDERSON: As Fernando hinted, we were 6 asked to complete a new W-9 for a User with the User 7 fees and all that is so that they can have it in 8 their file. This just goes to the vendor. 9 claim that there was a new disclosure to make, which 10 I don't think there was, but we did a new W-9. 11 Looks a whole lot like the last one we did, but it's 12 just so that they know not to back up or withhold on 13 our payment. 14 MR. LOPEZ: Which wouldn't take the place 15 of the 99 form? 16 MR. ANDERSON: No. This is just in case 17 if there was a mismatch between our EIN number and 18 our name, they would backup with holding which they 19 are not to do, and so this just attests that we are 20 who we say we are. 21 MR. FEKETE: Again. 22 MR. ANDERSON: Again. Somebody should 23 sign it, Board president probably. 24 And then Fernando also eluded, we 25 are working with the EPA in this complicated formula

of getting some of our indirect costs to qualify for the local match. Did I say that right? And so you would not believe this formula that the Federal — it's the Federal government that's prescribed this formula to have some of our like accounting fees and our kind of overhead costs. There's no doubt that the direct cost, the Tetra Tech and these kind of things would apply for our local match. But we are trying to get some of the overhead costs also to apply for our local match.

MR. TREVINO: They've agreed to it in concept. It's just a matter of now putting the backup and the information in their format so they can say okay.

MR. ANDERSON: It's worth the time because at the end of this project, there's going to be quite a bit of indirect cost which we should get credit for as the local match. And so we are going to try to follow their protocol for how you calculate that number. And then once EPA gives its blessing to the routine of how we calculate this, then going forward we should be able to rely on that.

MR. LOPEZ: Thank you. That's a lot of work.

1	MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's a lot of fun
2	MR. FEKETE: The time preparing this
3	report also gets added to the report itself?
4	MR. ANDERSON: Exactly. You should work
5	for the EPA. Thank you very much.
6	MR. FEKETE: Thanks, Tim. We have before
7	us the accounts payable voucher report or register
8	dated May 20, 2015. I'll entertain a motion to
9	approve.
10	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.
11	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?
12	MR. ZAGAR: Second.
13	MR. FEKETE: I have a motion and a second.
14	Roll call vote. Mr. Bakota?
15	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriquez?
17	MR. HENRY RODRIQUEZ: Yes.
18	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriquez?
19	MR. PATRICK RODRIQUEZ: Yes.
20	MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar?
21	MR. ZAGAR: Yes.
22	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?
23	(No Response)
24	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
25	MR. LOPEZ: Yes
	13

MR. FEKETE: John Fekete? I vote yes. Motion is approved.

The BMO Harris report.

MR. ALONZO: David Alonzo, BMO Harris
Bank. All right. I'm going to run through our
Trust report. You know, really on page 1, it just
highlights the historical returns and disbursements
we have had since '97.

Going into page 2, the Trust value as of May 18th, was \$5,745,578 and this year the disbursement was for the 268,519 for the budget. The difference from our last meeting was \$9,910 that was accrued interest that was paid, so that's why there is a little bit of a jump in the value there.

on the bottom that are highlighted in yellow are the ones that are coming due after our next meeting. So I don't know if maybe your Investment Committee wanted to meet prior to the next meeting to sort of get some ideas on what we want to do ahead of time, sign off on anything. Obviously the rate environment is not the greatest. However, if we have CDs coming up fortunately. But I've been working with guys over the years to get better rates and we can go over some those options that are out

1 there too. 2 As far as the prime money market 3 balance, we keep that half million in there. More 4 than likely when that one comes up, we can fill that 5 account back up to 500,000 like we have been 6 maintaining over the years and then go through some 7 ideas and suggestions on what we can do. 8 MR. LOPEZ: You think you can set up a 9 Finance Committee meeting? 10 MR. TREVINO: Yes, I've I got it down. 11 I'll be contacting you. 12 MR. LOPEZ: We need to meet before the 13 next meeting? 14 MR. TREVINO: Okav. 15 MR. ALONZO: We just need some time to put 16 things together and then go from there. 17 questions at all? 18 (No Response) 19 MR. FEKETE: Thank you 20 MR. LOPEZ: Hope for higher rates than 21 this one? 22 MR. ALONZO: Yeah, one year at two 23 percent. 24 MR. FEKETE: Great Lakes Legacy Act 25 Project update, Mr. Wescott.

MR. WESCOTT: Jim Wescott. Everybody, so we're pretty much finished with field sampling. I kind of mentioned at the last meeting we have collected sediment samples from Indiana Harbor Canal to try to zoom in on the high PCB hit in the Indiana Harbor. We got those results back. Actually it seems to be a very isolated high spot, which is good because it's more expensive to get rid of that type of material.

And then yesterday I was out at the South Tank Farm ECI test site doing testing, basically digging holes. Because if we do the dredging project we would potentially need to use the South Tank Farm for the sediment dewatering, as I mentioned in the past. We'd have to regrade some of the surface, probably disturb the top couple of feet. And we want to test bits to make sure when we were grading there weren't like pipelines or a lot of debris, concrete, foundations. We did run into some pipelines abandoned, but I don't think it's going to attack the use of that property, use of the property.

Actually, I sent one picture to Fernando today and that picture is pretty indicative. It's basically 18 inches of top soil

and then about two feet of sand. And then a lot of 1 2 places you'll see an asphalt layer about three feet 3 down and then it's kind of a gray fill material. 4 That's pretty much it. 5 MR. LOPEZ: Asphalt? 6 MR. WESCOTT: Asphalt, like a parking lot 7 or whatever. Arcadis, who is the BP consultant up 8 there, they were taking notes too because they've 9 got other things they are working on at the site. 10 And so for this project's 11 perspective using that site for what I would, the 12 sediment dewatering is feasible. I just wanted to 13 make sure because we were currently using the Dupont 14 property off the Grand Cal and we had, because of 15 that used to be a factory, there's a lot of concrete 16 foundation and do a lot of earth work to make it 17 work. And I just wanted to make sure the South Tank 18 Farm wasn't going to be kind of the same way. 19 should be pretty easy. 20 MR. BAKOTA: How much of that area will 21 you use? The South Tank Farm site? 22 MR. WESCOTT: 23 MR. BAKOTA: Yeah. 24 MR. WESCOTT: Probably the eastern half or 25 maybe eastern third of the site. Maybe 10,

15 acres. It's about a 40 acre property. We try to keep it, you know -- if you have been over there, there's a little boat ramp to get down and then they have the little building right there by the boat ramp. And because they have power coming in there, we want to keep a lot of stuff right there and just tap into the power and build the dewatering bed as close to that as we can.

MR. BAKOTA: There's a lot of points there already parallel to the canal.

MR. WESCOTT: It wouldn't effect those.

There's one monitoring well in the middle that we would probably need to abandon or take out and reinstall when we are done, but that's minor. I just wanted to make sure, depending on who you talk to, you are not ever sure what you are going to unearth. So it wasn't as bad as anybody really expected. There was some petroleum motors because of the historical site.

So the Indiana Harbor Canal looks better than I thought last month. It's still going to be expensive. And then South Tank Farm looks okay.

The field work is pretty much done and the main thing that we are working on as

1 Fernando mentioned, the feasibility study. 2 going to try it get done by Friday, although it may 3 be an over the weekend project so that Fernando sees 4 it Tuesday morning. And then I'm going to provide a 5 draft for Fernando and the non-federal partner and 6 the EPA, then review it, give me comments back, and 7 then publish a final feasibility study, probably 8 around June 15th. And then the public meeting I 9 think is the 25th. So the public will have a couple 10 weeks to review it, come to the meeting, ask 11 questions, or either they have already read it and 12 ask questions, or they are going to come, learn 13 about it, and have a couple more weeks to provide 14 comments. You want to put it in the middle. 15 then once we get feedback, finalize the feasibility 16 study and then move forward with what the preferred 17 options are. And that kind of dovetails with 18 Fernando's talk about trying to work with BP and 19 other non-federal partners to kind of line up the 20 finances of the two to make sure it continues to 21 move forward. 22 On that dewatering, will all MR. BAKOTA: 23 the material be moved by the waterway, not by road?

MR. WESCOTT: It would all be trucked out.

MR. BAKOTA: It would be trucked?

24

MR. WESCOTT: It would be pumped in from the river and then would, you know, if we do it hydraulically, we basically fill big sausage casings.

1.8

2.0

Boulevard.

MR. BAKOTA: Like they did -MR. WESCOTT: -- at the east branch,
right. Fill them up, dewater, put the stuff in
trucks and take to the landfill. And it's
convenient, it's right next to Indianapolis

MR. BAKOTA: But the material that would be dewater, is it delivered to the site by the waterway?

MR. WESCOTT: It will be. So they will dredge in the water and there's a pipeline, and the pipeline will just run down the river and then comes up. And they will probably tie it up to the bank because there are other dredging projects and easements to the river. It's fairly typical. We may need to put signage depending on where the pipeline is, don't dig here, watch out for the pipeline. But the pipeline will be in the river, it won't disturb any upland traffic and probably come up the bank into the South Tank Farm. So it should be out of the way.

1 And so the feasibility study will be 2 done, you know, finalized in the next 45 to 60 days. 3 And then really the Fall is going to be just 4 finalizing the design. And at this point there's a 5 schedule in your packet. The design will be done by 6 the end of calendar year and then it's ideally the 7 money is there too, and then after 2016 the project 8 partners can look to start building something. 9 schedule slipped a little bit, but a lot of it, 10 where we are right now because there is no real --11 it doesn't look like their project agreement can finance it. That's a lot of the issue just riding 12 13 along. Any questions or comments?

(No Response)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. U.S. Army Corps report.

MR. NETHERTON: Daniel Netherton, with Corps of Engineers. Construction update, facility dredging contractor is back on-site. The dredging is beginning of May. As of today they dredged approximately 120,000 cubic yards. We had a meeting with them today, progress meeting, and they indicated that after Memorial Day they will be moving to a day shift only. So no night dredging for the rest of the Summer. Still doing seven days

1 a week, but single 12-hour shift instead. 2 Then the Corps is continuing to 3 coordinate the scope of work with the Wastewater Treatment Plant to remove water from the increase 4 5 capacity for more dredging. 6 The permanent well installation 7 The eight new extraction wells, all the wells have 8 been drilled and developed. Work is ongoing, 9 Trenching to put in the pipelines to connect to the existing discharge header for the system. 10 11 schedule shows they should have all those completed 12 within the next two weeks. 13 Documentation permits mentioned a 14 little bit before. Permits, the meeting on May 13th 15 was canceled so they are rescheduling. 16 Oil boom coordination. The new boom 17 on the west side of the railroad bridge requires an 18 updated permit from the Corps and that coordination 19 is on the way. 20 Non-federal funding, PPA and 21 federal, I think Ellen will be talking about that. 22 Web site. I think Fernando 23 mentioned web site. We're coordinating where this 24 will be hosted for the next contract.

And then new work, we have equipment

1	storage facility. A new garage that will be going	
2	in on-site. Any questions?	
3	MR. HENRY RODRIQUEZ: Couple questions.	
4	The day shift 12-hour, what are the hours, from when	
5	to when?	
6	MR. NETHERTON: They are still determining	
7	that. It will be 7 to 7 or 8 to 8.	
8	MR. RODRIQUEZ: How much do you plan to	
9	dredge, do you know?	
10	MR. NETHERTON: We issued an extension	
11	today for extra 160, which puts it at 280 total for	
12	now. So that's the total as of now. There is talk	
13	of maybe a little bit more, but not significantly	
14	more than that.	
15	MR. FEKETE: I think the original plan was	
16	250.	
17	MR. NETHERTON: I think so. We have a	
18	maximum of 400,000 in the contract that we dredge	
19	per year. I think about 250, 300 was used.	ı
20	MR. RODRIQUEZ: All right, thank you.	
21	MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. Ellen	
22	Gregory report.	
23	MS. GREGORY: Ellen Gregory, with	
24	Bamberger, Foreman, Oswald & Hahn. The consent	
25	decree, last bi-weekly call we had was last	
		23

3

4

Thursday. BP is suppose to be scheduling a conference call with the Department of Justice attorney to go over the latest draft of the consent decree.

5

6

7

On the AOC, administrative order of consent, the revised work plan has been submitted to EPA, based on EPA comments on the original work plan.

8 9

10

11

The Feddeler property claim. have a draft appraisal report from David Begoin where we are going to be coordinating with Jim Wieser on getting a final appraisal from him and then we'll need to coordinate with Army Corps in finalizing that appraisal. And then Army Corps will take the lead in negotiating with the Feddeler attorney on their claim.

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

Also related to the CDF, it was mentioned a couple of times, the TSCA permit meeting has been postponed. And the Section 10 permit revision will be coordinated primarily through BP with the Army Corps because the Section 10 agreement between the District and BP provides that BP is suppose to take the lead on issues related to extensions in permits and notifications, etcetera, and we have contacted Michael England about that.

1 On the PCA, we continue to have 2 discussions on generally a bi-weekly schedule and 3 our next call is not until the week after Memorial 4 Day. And that's all I have on legal matters. Any 5 questions? 6 MR. FEKETE: I notice in your report on 7 Great Lakes Legacy Act, you mention stakeholders 8 meeting on the 25th? 9 MS. GREGORY: That's the public meeting. 10 MR. FEKETE: That's what you are referring 11 to is the stakeholder meeting? 12 MS. GREGORY: Right. 13 MR. FEKETE: Okay. Thank you. 14 questions? 15 (No Response) MR. FEKETE: 16 Thank you very much. New 17 business? Fernando? 18 MR. TREVINO: Yes. The Board might recall that First Merchants has agreed to attend our Board 19 20 meetings periodically to address the Board and 21 answer any questions they might have regarding our 22 accounts, and for an opportunity for First Merchants 23 to update the Board on any new rates that might be 24 out in the market. So there is a couple of 25 gentlemen here today representing First Merchants,

Mr. John Freyek and Clayton Miller. Do you have any anything?

MR. FREYEK: Other than my sparkling presence. Thank you. John Freyek, I was here a few months ago. I was here with Debra Milne, who usually does the talking. She is unavoidably detained tonight. So thank you for the business, first of all.

Last time we were here we discussed the transition from Citizens to First Merchants.

It's gone really well, certainly from our perspective, and I think the stock would indicate that as well. Stock is up about a third over the year.

I really wanted to introduce you to Clayton Miller. One of the positives about the transition is the investment team. We'd like to sit down with Fernando and the Finance Committee and just recalibrate where you stand, what we can provide in terms of the investment of the two million dollars that's on deposit with us. Clayton, you want to say hello?

MR. MILLER: Good evening. Like John was saying, I'm Clayton Miller, new investment consultant with First Merchants. We work with one

1 of the largest broker dealers in our part of the United States. We offer a wide variety of 2 3 investments and other vehicles that we can use. 4 know there's a lot of limitations that you offer as 5 far as investment policy statement that you can 6 have. I'd love an opportunity to work with you in 7 the future. As far as doing the due diligence, I'd 8 love to be a part of the Finance Committee and any 9 other ways that I could help out. 10 MR. TREVINO: We are planning to have a 11 Finance Committee meeting between now and the next 12 Board meeting, so once I formalize that date, I will 13 contact you both and schedule time for you to come 14 in. 15 MR. MILLER: Sounds good. 16 MR. FEKETE: Thank you for coming in. 17 other new business? 18 (No Response) 19 Any other business? 20 (No Response) 21 Any public comments? 22 (No Response) 23 Hearing none, the next Board meeting will 24 be June 17th, at 5:00 p.m., at this facility. 25 entertain a motion for adjournment.

May 20, 2015

1	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to adjourn.
2	MR. FEKETE: Is there a second?
3	MR. HENRY RODRIQUEZ: Second.
4	MR. FEKETE: All in favor signify by
5	saying aye.
6	(All signify aye.)
7	MR. FEKETE: Meeting adjourned.
8	
9	
10	
11	(MEETING ADJOURNED.)
12	
13	* * * *
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	28

CERTIFICATE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

I, Peggy S. Lalonde, Certified Shorthand Reporter (CSR), and Notary Public within and for the County of LaPorte, State of Indiana, do hereby certify that I appeared at the City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana, on the 20th day of May, 2015, to report the proceedings had of the District Board of Directors' Meeting.

I further certify that I then and there reported in machine shorthand the proceedings given at said time and place, and that the testimony was then reduced to typewriting from my original shorthand notes, and the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of said proceedings had.

Dated this 26th day of May, 2015.

Perm S. Ladonle



Peggy S. LaLonde, CSR

3/14/2018 My Commission expires:

